

United Nations

E/CN.9/2011/5



ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COUNCIL

Distr.: General
January 2011

Original: English

Commission on Population and Development**Forty-third session**

11 - 15 April 2011

Item 3 of the provisional agenda

**The flow of financial resources for assisting in the implementation
of the Programme of Action of the International Conference
on Population and Development****Report of the Secretary-General**

The present report responds to a request made at the twenty-eighth session of the Commission on Population and Development for an annual report on the flow of financial resources for assisting in the implementation of the Programme of Action of the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD). It also complies with General Assembly resolutions 49/128 and 50/124 in which the Assembly called for the preparation of periodic reports on the financial resource flows to assist in the implementation of the Programme of Action.

The report examines levels of donor and domestic expenditures for population activities in developing countries for 2009 and provides estimates for population expenditures in 2010 and projections for 2011. Donor assistance has been increasing steadily over the past few years, reaching US \$10.4 billion in 2008. This was the first time that population assistance surpassed \$10 billion. The strong upward trend seen recently has stalled and funding remains virtually unchanged in 2009. It is expected to increase only slightly to just under \$10.5 in 2010 and to \$10.8 in 2011. A rough estimate of resources mobilized by developing countries, as a group, yielded a figure of \$29.8 billion for 2009. The 2010 and 2011 figures are expected to follow the same pattern, increasing to \$31 billion in 2010 and to \$34 billion in 2011.

Current funding levels continue to remain below the targets necessary to fully implement the ICPD Programme of Action and achieve the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). This is true for all four components of the ICPD costed population package - family planning, reproductive health, STD/HIV/AIDS, and basic research, data and population and development policy analysis. Funding is not expected to increase to levels required to meet current needs in the near future, given the current global financial situation.

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
I. Introduction.....	3
II. International Assistance to Population Activities	4
A. Bilateral Assistance to Population Activities	5
B. Multilateral Assistance to Population Activities	6
C. Private Assistance to Population Activities.....	7
III. Expenditures for Population Activities	7
A. Expenditures for Population Activities by Geographic Region	8
B. Expenditures for Population Activities by Category of Activity	8
C. Expenditures for Population Activities by Channel of Distribution.....	10
IV. Domestic Expenditures for Population Activities.....	11
A. Methodology	11
B. Estimates and Projections of Domestic Expenditures	11
C. Components of Domestic Funding for Population Activities	13
V. Funding Requirements to Achieve ICPD Objectives	13
VI. Major Challenges in Implementing the ICPD Financial Targets	17
VII. Conclusion	17
A. Progress in Resource Mobilization	17
B. The Way Forward	17

I. Introduction

1. The present report has been prepared by the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) in response to a request at the twenty-eighth session of the Commission on Population and Development¹ for an annual report on the flow of financial resources for assisting in the implementation of the Programme of Action of the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD) held in Cairo in 1994.² The report is part of the work programme of the Commission on Population and Development and is submitted in accordance with General Assembly resolutions 49/128 and 50/124, which called for the preparation of periodic reports on the flow of financial resources for assisting in the implementation of the Programme of Action.

2. The report reviews the flow of funds from donor countries for population assistance in developing countries³ and provides estimates of government and non-governmental expenditures for population activities in developing countries for 2009. It also includes donor and developing country estimates for 2010 and projections for 2011. Data collection activities for both donor and domestic resource flows were undertaken by the Netherlands Interdisciplinary Demographic Institute (NIDI) under a contract with UNFPA. To build regional capacity to monitor resource flows, UNFPA and NIDI also work with the Indian Institute of Health Management Research (IIHMR) in the collection of data on domestic expenditures. Evaluation and analysis of data were carried out jointly by UNFPA and NIDI.

Methodology

3. A detailed questionnaire was mailed to 129 key actors in the field of population and AIDS including major multilateral organizations and agencies, large private foundations and other non-governmental organizations that provide substantial amounts of population assistance and Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)/Development Assistance Committee (DAC) donor countries. To decrease respondent fatigue, coordinate monitoring of resource flows and ensure consistency in reporting, as much information from donor countries as possible is obtained from the OECD/DAC database. In the absence of complete data from major donors by the publication deadline, the information contained in this report is based on estimates taking into account past funding behavior.

4. Information on domestic resource flows is based on data supplied by governments and non-governmental organizations in developing countries throughout the world, secondary sources, and estimations and projections.

5. The external and domestic financial resource flows for population activities analyzed in this report are based on the "costed population package" as specified in paragraph 13.14 of the Programme of Action of the International Conference on Population and Development. The package comprises family planning services; basic reproductive health services; sexually transmitted diseases (STDs)/human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)/acquired immunodeficiency

¹ See *Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, 1995, Supplement No. 7 (E/1995/27), annex I, sect. III.*

² *Report of the International Conference on Population and Development, Cairo, 5-13 September 1994* (United Nations publication, Sales No. E.95.XIII.18), chap. I, resolution I, annex.

³ All references to developing countries in this report also include countries with economies in transition.

syndrome (AIDS) prevention activities;⁴ and basic research, data and population and development policy analysis.

II. International Assistance to Population Activities

6. Donor assistance to population activities increased until 2008 when it stood at \$10.4 billion. The strong upward trend observed in recent years stalled in 2009 as the effect of the global financial crisis began to set in - the provisional figure for 2009 remains about the same at \$10.39 billion (see Table 1). It is expected that funding levels will increase only slightly to \$10.46 in 2010 and somewhat more to \$10.8 billion in 2011. However, it is possible that given the uncertainty regarding how long the effects of the global financial crisis will last, the final figures for 2010 and 2011 may well be below these estimates.


Table 1. International Population Assistance, by Major Donor Category, 2008 – 2011
(Millions of US \$)

Donor category	2008	2009 (Provisional)	2010 (Estimated)	2011 (Projected)
Bilateral Assistance				
Developed countries	9,298	9,329	9,423	9,739
Multilateral Assistance				
United Nations system	65	36	64	66
Development Bank grants	46	95	45	47
Development Bank loans	354	296	296*	296*
Private Assistance				
Foundations/NGOs	643	630	636	656
Subtotal without bank loans	10,050	10,090	9,804	10,258
Total	10,404	10,386	10,464	10,804

Source: UNFPA, 2010. *Financial Resource Flows for Population Activities in 2008* and Resource Flows Project database.

Note: Totals may not add up due to rounding. *The 2010-2011 figures for development bank loans are estimated at the 2009 level.

⁴ Beginning with the 1999 round of questionnaires, the Resource Flows Project began to include data on HIV/AIDS treatment and care to address the growing reporting needs of UNAIDS and because it was becoming increasingly impossible for respondents to provide information on HIV/AIDS prevention activities only. As of 2008, to ensure one AIDS figure, all data on HIV/AIDS expenditures are obtained directly from UNAIDS using the broader AIDS definition.


Figure 1. Population Assistance by Source, in Percentages, 2009

Source: Resource Flows Project database. Figures are provisional.
 Note: Totals may not add up due to rounding.

A. Bilateral Assistance to Population Activities

7. Donor countries traditionally provide the largest share of population assistance. Bilateral assistance is estimated at just over \$9.3 billion in 2009, only slightly above the 2008 figure. The increasing trend seen over the last decade practically stalled as a number of countries facing financial difficulties decreased funding for population activities. It is estimated that the situation may have improved somewhat in 2010 and funding could reach \$9.4 billion. Projections for 2011 place this number at \$9.7 billion reflecting a more optimistic financial outlook for the year.

8. According to the latest OECD figures, official development assistance (ODA) decreased to \$120 billion in 2009 from \$122.4 billion in 2008. The percentage of total ODA that donor countries, as a group, contributed to population assistance increased to 7.67 per cent in 2009 from 7.54 per cent in 2008. (Figure 2) There are significant variations between countries in percentage of ODA spent on population activities, from 0.73 per cent to 17.93 per cent.

Figure 2. Population Assistance of Donor Countries as a Percentage of ODA, 2000-2009

Source: UNFPA, 2010. *Financial Resource Flows for Population Activities in 2008* and Resource Flows Project database.
 Note: Data for 2009 are provisional.

B. Multilateral Assistance to Population Activities

9. Multilateral assistance to population activities consists of contributions provided by the organizations and agencies of the United Nations system and grants and loans provided by development banks.

United Nations System

10. Multilateral assistance originating in the United Nations system, are mainly funds from UNAIDS, UNFPA, UNICEF and WHO. Whatever the United Nations agencies receive for population assistance from OECD/DAC donor countries is considered to be bilateral assistance. Agencies' general funds not earmarked for population activities, interest earned on funds and money from income-generating activities that are spent on population activities are considered as multilateral assistance for population. Funds received from developing countries that agencies spend on population activities are a small portion of an agency's regular budget and are also included as multilateral assistance. Provisional figures for multilateral assistance originating with the United Nations system show a substantial decrease, from \$65 million in 2008 to \$36 million in 2009. This could partially be due to the economic slowdown and partly to the fact that a number of UN agencies did not provide information by the publication deadline.

11. UNFPA is the leading provider of United Nations assistance in the population field, providing support to 155 developing countries in 2009. UNFPA relies on voluntary contributions and follows its Strategic Plan 2008-2013 whose goal is to accelerate progress towards realizing the ICPD Programme of Action and the Millennium Development Goals, focusing on three key areas: population and development, reproductive health and rights and gender equality. The plan is results-based and specifies anticipated outcomes and indicators to measure results.

Bank Grants

12. In 2009, the World Bank, the only development bank reporting expenditures for special grants programmes in population, increased the total amount of grants to \$95 million.

Bank Loans

13. Development banks, which provide loans to developing countries, are an important source of multilateral population assistance. Their contributions are treated separately from grants because their assistance is in the form of loans that must be repaid. The banks' projects reflect multi-year commitments recorded in the year in which they are approved but disbursed over several years. Most loans for population assistance come from the World Bank, which supports reproductive health and family planning service delivery, population policy development, HIV/AIDS prevention, and fertility and health survey and census work. In 2009, the World Bank made available \$296 million in loans for population activities.

C. Private Assistance to Population Activities

14. Foundations, non-governmental organizations and other private organizations are also important sources of population assistance. In 2009, it is estimated that foundations and NGOs contributed \$630 million to population activities, down from \$643 million in 2008. The recent upward trend has been reversed most probably due to the financial crisis that has hit the institutions. It is not certain whether the level of private assistance to population activities will increase in 2010 and 2011.


III. Expenditures for Population Activities

15. Figures for international population assistance reflect financial resources contributed by donors in a given year, while figures for expenditures reflect the funds that have been received by developing countries in a given year. International assistance may be provided by a donor either directly to the developing country or to an intermediate donor such as a multilateral organization or international NGO. Recipients may be developing country Governments, national NGOs or donors' field offices in developing countries. International population assistance for a given year does not automatically equal the expenditures in that year since funds are not always spent in the same year in which they were received. This is particularly the case when funds are channeled through an intermediate donor. Thus, for example, funds provided by a donor to a recipient country in year A are included in international population assistance in year A and expenditures in year A. Funds provided by a donor to an intermediate donor in year A but spent by that intermediate donor in a recipient country in year B would be included under population assistance in year A and expenditures in year B. Development bank loans are not included in the expenditure figures because they reflect large blocks of loan agreements made in a single year but intended to be expended over several years.

A. Expenditures for Population Activities by Geographic Region

16. Sub-Saharan Africa, which includes the majority of the least developed countries, continues to be the largest recipient of assistance, receiving 70 per cent of all assistance going to the five geographic regions (Figure 3). About 36 per cent of all population assistance goes to fund global and interregional population activities including such activities as advocacy; research; reproductive health; support to the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria; HIV/AIDS prevention, care and support; and safe motherhood.

Figure 3. Population Assistance by Geographic Region, in Percentages, 2009



Source: Resource Flows Project database. Figures are provisional.

B. Expenditures for Population Activities by Category of Activity


17. UNFPA monitors expenditures for population activities by the following four ICPD costed population categories: 1) family planning services, 2) basic reproductive health services, 3) STD/HIV/AIDS activities and 4) basic research, data and population and development policy analysis.

18. The growing trend towards integration of services and the use of sector-wide approaches in development assistance is making it increasingly difficult for countries to readily distinguish between expenditures for population and other health-related activities and, within population, between family planning, reproductive health and STD/HIV/AIDS activities. However, while precise figures may not always be available, it is still possible to estimate the amount of resources that are spent on each of the four categories of the costed population package. Monitoring expenditures for the separate categories is an important component of budgeting, policy making and programme planning.

19. Although funding has increased over the years, it has not been increasing in all areas of the costed population package (Figure 4). In fact, the increase in resource mobilization is due in large part to increases in funding for HIV/AIDS. It is important to ensure a substantial amount of money to stop the spread of HIV/AIDS. But it is also critically important to mobilize adequate resources for the other components of the ICPD population package, especially for family planning and reproductive health, which are essential for achieving MDG 5, the goal which is lagging behind the most.

20. Funding for family planning services decreased in absolute dollar amounts since 1995 when UNFPA first began monitoring resource flows by the four ICPD costed population categories. Although funding for reproductive health and basic research activities increased, HIV/AIDS activities continue to receive by far the most population assistance. Funding for family planning reached its lowest point in 2006 after which it increased. Provisional 2009 figures point to a slight decrease. Funding for reproductive health increased noticeably in both 2008 and 2009, while provisional figures for HIV/AIDS point to a decrease for the first time in 2009. Figure 4 provides expenditures for population activities as a percentage of total population assistance for the four components of the ICPD population package for the years 2000 to 2009.

Figure 4. Expenditures for Population Activities as a Percentage of Total Population Assistance, 2000-2009



Source: UNFPA, 2010. *Financial Resource Flows for Population Activities in 2008* and Resource Flows Project database.

C. Expenditures for Population Activities by Channel of Distribution

21. Assistance for population activities flows through a diverse network, moving from the donor to the recipient country through one of the following channels: 1) bilateral – directly from the donor to the recipient country government; 2) multilateral – through United Nations organizations and agencies; and 3) non-governmental. The bilateral channel has overtaken the NGO channel that predominated during the past decade. In 2009, it is estimated that about 36 per cent of population assistance was channeled by NGOs, compared to 39 per cent that went via the bilateral channel and 24 per cent that came from multilateral sources. This trend is expected to continue in 2010 and 2011 largely as a result of bilateral AIDS programmes.

IV. Domestic Expenditures for Population Activities

A. Methodology

22. The ICPD Programme of Action pointed out that domestic resources of developing countries provide the largest portion of funds for attaining population and development objectives. It estimated that two thirds of the funding required to finance population programmes would come from domestic resources. The mobilization of adequate domestic financial resources is therefore essential to facilitate full implementation of the Cairo agenda. UNFPA has been monitoring domestic expenditures for population activities since 1997. This has been done primarily through the use of survey questionnaires sent to UNFPA Country Offices throughout the world for further distribution to Government ministries and large national NGOs. Although most Governments make every effort to provide the requested information, many are often unable to supply data because of funding, staffing and time constraints. In addition, countries that do not have well-developed systems for monitoring resource flows are unable to provide information, especially when funding is pooled in integrated social and health projects and sector-wide approaches. Furthermore, most countries with decentralized governments do not have accounting systems that can easily provide information on expenditures for population at sub-national levels.

23. Total global domestic expenditures for population activities presented in this report are estimated using a methodology that incorporates the responses of the surveyed countries, together with prior reporting on actual and intended expenditures, secondary sources on national spending, and, in the absence of such information, estimates and projections are based on national income as measured by the level of gross domestic product (GDP) which has proved the most influential variable explaining the growth of spending by governments.⁵

B. Estimates and Projections of Domestic Expenditures

24. Table 2 presents the latest estimates and projections of global domestic expenditures for population activities for 2009-2011. The overall levels mobilized increased in 2009 and are projected to increase in 2010 and 2011. This is due in large part to the fact that the figures are heavily influenced by a number of large booming economies which remained largely unaffected by the global financial crisis. It is estimated that developing countries spent \$29.8 billion for population activities in 2009. The largest amount was mobilized in Asia (\$17.3 billion), followed by sub-Saharan Africa (\$4.8 billion), Latin America and the Caribbean (\$4.7 billion), Western Asia and North Africa (\$1.7 billion) and Eastern and Southern Europe (\$1.3 billion).

25. Domestic expenditures are estimated to have increased to \$31 billion in 2010 and are projected to increase further to \$34 billion in 2011. Asia is expected to continue to mobilize the largest amount of financial resources in both 2010 and 2011. Sub-Saharan Africa is expected to mobilize the second largest amount of funds, followed by Latin America and the Caribbean, Western Asia and North Africa, and Eastern and Southern Europe.

⁵ See Erik Beekink, *Projections of Funds for Population and AIDS Activities, 2009-2011*, The Hague, 2010.

26. It is estimated that thirty-six per cent of all domestic expenditures for population were spent on STD/HIV/AIDS activities in 2009. This percentage varied considerably by region, from 91 per cent in Eastern and Southern Europe to 11 per cent in Western Asia and North Africa.

27. Data on domestic resource flows are rough estimates because they are often incomplete and not entirely comparable. However, the information is useful in that it provides some idea of the progress made by developing countries in achieving the financial resource targets of the ICPD Programme of Action. While the figures show real commitment on the part of developing countries, they conceal the great variation that exists among countries in their ability to mobilize resources for population activities. Most domestic resource flows originate in a few large countries. Many countries, especially those in sub-Saharan Africa and the least developed countries, are not able to generate sufficient resources to finance their own population programmes and rely heavily on donor assistance.

Table 2. Projection of Global Domestic Expenditures for Population Activities, 2009-2011
(Thousands of US \$)

Year	Source of Funds				Percentage spent on STD/HIV/AIDS
	Government	NGO	Consumers*	Total	
2009					
Africa (sub-Saharan)	2,226,197	129,558	2,424,891	4,780,646	86%
Asia and the Pacific	4,915,659	169,762	12,210,498	17,295,919	17%
Latin America and the Caribbean	2,759,332	96,443	1,828,458	4,684,234	48%
Western Asia and North Africa	995,310	54,565	641,975	1,691,850	11%
Eastern and Southern Europe	876,958	14,749	451,633	1,343,340	91%
Total	11,773,456	465,077	17,557,455	29,795,988	36%
2010					
Africa (sub-Saharan)	2,234,923	135,276	2,433,908	4,804,106	86%
Asia and the Pacific	5,244,541	175,262	13,027,439	18,447,242	16%
Latin America and the Caribbean	2,747,062	100,047	1,829,677	4,676,785	47%
Western Asia and North Africa	1,035,562	58,041	667,938	1,761,541	12%
Eastern and Southern Europe	875,660	15,216	450,965	1,341,841	91%
Total	12,137,748	483,842	18,409,926	31,031,515	35%
2011					
Africa (sub-Saharan)	2,240,998	139,461	2,440,185	4,820,644	86%
Asia and the Pacific	6,050,954	179,916	15,030,569	21,261,440	15%
Latin America and the Caribbean	2,763,093	102,282	1,841,750	4,707,124	47%
Western Asia and North Africa	1,061,814	60,332	684,870	1,807,016	12%
Eastern and Southern Europe	887,939	15,735	457,289	1,360,963	90%
Total	13,004,797	497,727	20,454,663	33,957,187	32%

*Consumer spending on population activities covers only out-of-pocket expenditures and is based on the average amount per region as measured by the World Health Organization for health care spending in general. For each region, the ratio of private out-of-pocket versus per capita government expenditures was used to derive consumer expenditures in the case of population activities.

Source: Resource Flows Project database. See also Erik Beekink, *Projections of Funds for Population and AIDS Activities, 2009-2011*, The Hague, 2010.

C. Components of Domestic Funding for Population Activities

28. Domestic funding for population activities comes primarily from governments, national NGOs and private consumers. Governments are considered to be responsible for most domestic expenditures for population activities. However, since the level of government funding usually depends on the level of national income, governments in least developed countries which are faced with many competing development priorities often cannot afford to make the necessary investments in population. They rely heavily on external funding from donors. National NGOs also contribute financial resources for population, but the majority of them are also highly dependent on international resources. Their main role lies in advocacy work and in reaching people at the grass-roots level.

29. Consumer spending as measured by out-of-pocket expenditures represents the largest part of resources spent on population activities. Private consumer expenditures account for a large percentage of total funding for health care. Although exact amounts of worldwide health-care spending for population activities are not known, it stands to reason that a significant proportion of expenditures for family planning, reproductive health and STD/HIV/AIDS services are borne by consumers. The few available sources of information on private spending reveal great variations between regions and countries and, in some cases, changes over time in the share of private spending within countries themselves. In estimating consumer spending, the Resource Flows Project used out-of-pocket health expenditures of households from the national health accounts figures as collected by the World Health Organization. The out-of-pocket health expenditures were assumed to be completely in line with out-of-pocket expenditures for population goods and services.

V. Funding Requirements to Achieve ICPD Objectives

30. To ensure adequate funding for the implementation of the ICPD Programme of Action, UNFPA reviewed the original estimates for the four categories of the costed population package and produced revised estimates to meet current needs and costs. These revised estimates, which were presented to the 42nd session of the Commission on Population and Development in 2009, are much higher than the original ICPD targets agreed upon in 1994 because they take into account both current needs and current costs and because they include interventions such as AIDS treatment and care and reproductive cancer screening and treatment, that were not part of the original costed population package.

31. Table 3 provides levels of funding required to achieve the ICPD objectives. In order to fully fund the necessary sexual and reproductive health including family planning and HIV/AIDS services, as well as censuses, surveys, civil registration and population research and training, the international community would need to mobilize \$49 billion in 2009. The costs are minimum estimates required to implement the ICPD goals in these areas. There will always be unspecified costs that fall outside the scope of the cost estimates as well as adjustments for demand generation, stock maintenance, etc.

Table 3. Updated ICPD Cost Estimates, By Sub-Region, 2009-2015 (Millions of US \$)

Region/year	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Global	48,980	64,724	67,762	68,196	68,629	69,593	69,810
Sexual/Reproductive Health/Family Planning	23,454	27,437	30,712	32,006	32,714	33,284	33,030
Family Planning Direct Costs	2,342	2,615	2,906	3,209	3,529	3,866	4,097
Maternal Health Direct Costs	6,114	7,868	9,488	11,376	13,462	15,746	18,002
Programmes and Systems Related Costs	14,999	16,954	18,319	17,422	15,723	13,672	10,931
HIV/AIDS	23,975	32,450	33,107	33,951	34,734	35,444	36,189
Basic Research/ Data/Policy Analysis	1,551	4,837	3,943	2,239	1,181	864	591
Sub-Saharan Africa	20,063	27,075	29,473	29,869	30,292	30,022	28,980
Sexual/Reproductive Health/Family Planning	8,482	10,612	12,596	12,675	12,764	12,184	10,731
Family Planning Direct Costs	329	414	506	606	713	827	931
Maternal Health Direct Costs	1,429	1,833	2,280	2,771	3,306	3,883	4,411
Programmes and Systems Related Costs	6,725	8,366	9,809	9,298	8,746	7,473	5,389
HIV/AIDS	11,228	15,891	16,227	16,746	17,243	17,638	18,110
Basic Research/ Data/Policy Analysis	353	571	651	449	285	200	139
Asia and the Pacific	17,549	23,281	23,923	23,788	23,862	24,415	25,245
Sexual/Reproductive Health/Family Planning	9,055	10,278	11,027	11,753	12,124	12,820	13,533
Family Planning Direct Costs	1,434	1,552	1,675	1,803	1,937	2,077	2,156
Maternal Health Direct Costs	2,799	3,664	4,299	5,110	6,018	7,024	8,054
Programmes and Systems Related Costs	4,822	5,062	5,053	4,840	4,169	3,719	3,323
HIV/AIDS	7,853	10,687	10,848	11,048	11,207	11,409	11,525
Basic Research/ Data/Policy Analysis	641	2,316	2,048	987	530	186	187
Latin America and Caribbean	6,366	7,591	7,439	7,775	7,699	7,966	8,320
Sexual/Reproductive Health/Family Planning	3,132	3,401	3,627	3,837	3,922	4,119	4,347
Family Planning Direct Costs	310	343	378	414	452	492	518
Maternal Health Direct Costs	958	1,182	1,431	1,706	2,009	2,340	2,680
Programmes and Systems Related Costs	1,864	1,876	1,818	1,717	1,461	1,286	1,150
HIV/AIDS	3,072	3,461	3,562	3,630	3,703	3,770	3,867
Basic Research/ Data/Policy Analysis	162	729	250	309	74	78	106

Western Asia and North Africa	2,795	3,685	3,418	3,538	3,501	3,865	3,721
Sexual/Reproductive Health/Family Planning	1,852	2,009	2,130	2,232	2,258	2,339	2,415
Family Planning Direct Costs	178	204	231	261	292	325	346
Maternal Health Direct Costs	603	735	873	1,019	1,171	1,328	1,471
Programmes and Systems Related Costs	1,071	1,070	1,025	953	796	686	598
HIV/AIDS	798	1,095	1,112	1,131	1,146	1,163	1,183
Basic Research/ Data/Policy Analysis	145	582	177	174	97	363	123
Eastern and Southern Europe	2,204	3,091	3,508	3,226	3,275	3,326	3,542
Sexual/Reproductive Health/Family Planning	933	1,137	1,334	1,510	1,645	1,824	2,004
Family Planning Direct Costs	91	103	116	125	135	145	146
Maternal Health Direct Costs	324	454	605	771	960	1,171	1,386
Programmes and Systems Related Costs	517	579	613	614	551	508	471
HIV/AIDS	1,023	1,316	1,358	1,397	1,435	1,465	1,503
Basic Research/ Data/Policy Analysis	248	638	816	320	195	38	35

Source: UNFPA, 2009. *Revised Cost Estimates for the Implementation of the Programme of Action of the International Conference on Population and Development: A Methodological Report*. Note: UNAIDS has since updated its cost estimates for HIV/AIDS expenditures to depict a scenario that reaches coverage later than the original figures presented here. The global 2009 figure for HIV/AIDS is \$20 billion. This increases incrementally until it reaches \$37 billion in 2015, slightly higher than the original figure.

32. The costing estimates for family planning assume that the current unmet need will be satisfied in 2015 although there is likely to be greater demand for family planning as people become more aware of the options. The costing estimates for reproductive health include antenatal care, delivery care, obstetric complications care, newborn interventions, reproductive organ cancer screening and treatment as well as other maternal care interventions. STD/HIV/AIDS costing includes elements for prevention, treatment, care and support including elements specifically to address issues of prevention of violence against women.

33. The cost estimates for the drugs, supplies and personnel needed to achieve the ICPD goals increase significantly over time due to the increased number of people projected to be receiving care as service coverage is scaled up as well as underlying population increases.

34. Health systems and programme costs related to family planning and reproductive health were estimated to reflect the need for a significant investment in the health systems and planning in order to achieve the ICPD goals of universal coverage. Without adequate investment in the health systems and programmes, it will be impossible to achieve the coverage goals. Elements included in this cost estimation include programme management, supervision, health education, monitoring and evaluation, advocacy, health system infrastructure, information systems, human resources training and commodity supply systems. The cost estimates for the health systems and programmes assume that the bulk of the investment will be made between 2009 and 2013. As a result of this assumption, the cost estimates for the health systems and programmes elements

peak in 2011 and then begin to decline. Cost estimates also include support during humanitarian crisis situations that are an ongoing challenge to medical systems in many countries.

35. Total costs for sexual/reproductive health, which includes the family planning and maternal health components (including direct costs and programme and systems costs), are estimated to be \$23.5 billion in 2009, peak at \$33.3 billion in 2014 and decrease slightly to \$33 billion in 2015. Total costs for the HIV/AIDS component are estimated to be \$24 billion in 2009 and increase each year thereafter, until they reach \$36.2 billion in 2015.⁶

36. The estimates for the basic data, research and population and development policy analysis component were obtained by summing four expenditure categories: censuses, surveys, civil registration, and research and training. Census expenditures were based on per capita census costs by sub-region, which varied from \$1.50 in Eastern, Middle, and Northern Africa to \$11.70 in Southern Europe. The total was then allocated to a four-year period: 10 per cent in the year before the census, 60 per cent in the census year, and 15 per cent in each of the two years after the census. Survey costs were estimated at \$1.25 or \$1.50 per household, depending on the sub-region, while the household sample sizes were estimated at 1 per cent, 0.5 per cent, or 0.25 per cent, depending on whether the country had less than a million, between 1-25 million, or more than 25 million inhabitants. Furthermore, it was assumed that all developing countries should have a survey of this kind once every 4 years.

37. For civil registration costs, it was assumed that the cost of processing each event (births, deaths, marriages, divorces) and entering it into the statistical system is one third of the per capita census costs for each sub-region. The expenditures for research and training were computed as 5 per cent of the total average annual costs of the previous three categories over the period from 2005 to 2015. The updated cost estimates for the data and research component are considerably higher than the original ICPD estimates agreed upon in Cairo in 1994 primarily because they reflect the real costs of census-taking to a much larger degree than previously. This is especially true in 2010, when total expenditures will reach \$4.84 billion, of which \$4.41 billion will be census expenditures. On the whole, census expenditures make up about three quarters (75.8 per cent) of the total, surveys - 6.9 per cent, and civil registration - 12.5 per cent. The average annual expenditure over the 7-year period is estimated to be \$2.17 billion.

38. It is clear that current funding levels for all four categories of the costed population package are considerably below what is necessary to meet the needs in developing countries. Given the global financial crisis, stagnating funding levels and the uncertainty of future funding, the full implementation of the Cairo agenda may be in jeopardy. If estimates for 2010 hold, and if donors did indeed contribute around \$10 billion and developing countries mobilized approximately \$31 billion in domestic resources, the total amount is roughly \$24 billion short of what is needed in 2010 to finance population programmes in developing countries.

39. Both donor and domestic funding should be increased in all four components of the ICPD costed population package to ensure implementation of the ICPD goals and the achievement of the MDGs.

⁶ UNAIDS has since updated its cost estimates for HIV/AIDS expenditures to depict a scenario that reaches coverage later than the original figures presented in Table 3. The global 2009 figure for HIV/AIDS is \$20 billion. This increases incrementally until it reaches \$37 billion in 2015, slightly higher than the original figure.

VI. Major Challenges in Implementing the ICPD Financial Targets

40. *Impact of global financial crisis.* The global financial crisis has affected the amount of resources allocated to population activities. Official development assistance declined in 2009 and a number of donors have decreased funding levels for population. The recent upward trend in population assistance has stagnated.

41. *Resource mobilization is dependent on a few key players.* Population assistance originates with a few major donors and the majority of domestic resources are mobilized in a few large developing countries. Most donor countries do not provide substantial funding for population activities and most developing countries are not in a position to mobilize sufficient resources to fund much-needed population and AIDS programmes. Poor countries are faced with many competing development priorities and many of them cannot afford to make the necessary investments in population.

42. *Consumer spending exceeds government and NGO expenditures for population.* Although not easy to track, the role played by consumers in spending for family planning, reproductive health and STD/HIV/AIDS is much larger than usually assumed. In many cases, this exceeds government and NGO expenditures. Although variations exist between regions and countries, if spending on family planning, reproductive health and STD/HIV/AIDS is completely in line with spending on health in general, then it can be assumed that consumers in developing countries pay more than half of the burden of such expenditures. Out-of-pocket spending by consumers, especially the poor, has important implications for policy initiatives aimed at reducing poverty and income inequality in the developing world.

VII. Conclusion

A. Progress in Resource Mobilization

43. Although considerable progress has been made, the financial resources currently mobilized are not sufficient to meet today's growing needs. Current funding levels are way below what is necessary to realize the ICPD goals and achieve the MDGs. This is true for all four components of the costed population package. The lack of adequate funding remains a major impediment to the full implementation of the goals of the ICPD and the Millennium Summit.

44. Of particular concern is the decreasing proportion of funding for family planning services which, if not reversed, may have serious implications for countries' ability to address unmet need for such services and could undermine efforts to prevent unintended pregnancies and reduce maternal and infant mortality. It is also very important to ensure adequate investments to support the 2010 round of censuses.

B. The Way Forward

45. Increased efforts to mobilize adequate resources on the part of both donors and developing countries are essential to fully implement the ICPD agenda. This is especially important in times of financial crisis, when funding levels are not increasing enough to meet

current needs in developing countries. The Millennium Development Goals, especially the eradication of extreme poverty and hunger, will not be achieved if issues of population and reproductive health are not adequately addressed. Implementing the ICPD Programme of Action, especially the reproductive health goal, is essential for meeting the Millennium Development Goals directly related to health, including child mortality, maternal health and HIV/AIDS prevention, and social and economic outcomes, including gender equality and poverty eradication.

46. To accelerate the implementation of the Cairo agenda and to achieve the Millennium Development Goals, the international community should continue to:

- Ensure that population and reproductive health are seen as an integral part of the achievement of the Millennium Development Goals and that they figure prominently in national development programmes and poverty reduction strategies
- Mobilize sufficient resources to fully implement the ICPD Programme of Action and ensure that family planning and reproductive health issues receive the attention they deserve at a time when the increased focus is on combating HIV/AIDS
- Establish an effective partnership of donor and recipient countries based on mutual trust, accountability and donor coordination in support of country goals
- Increase attention to cost-effectiveness and programme efficiency so that resources reach all segments of the population, especially those that are most in need
- Enhance the role of the private sector in the mobilization of resources for population and development, in monitoring population expenditures and ensuring that financial targets and equity objectives are met

47. A more efficient and timely monitoring system to report financial flows for population activities is essential. Currently, both donors and developing countries lag behind in reporting expenditures in this area. The most common constraints encountered include respondent fatigue, lack of human and financial resources, and difficulty in disaggregating the population component in integrated social and health projects and sector-wide approaches and in disaggregating the four categories of the costed population package. Different recording practices and decentralized accounting systems also present significant challenges.

48. Given the uncertainty of funding during the current financial crisis, it is essential that all governments, of both donor and developing countries, recommit themselves to implementing the ICPD objectives and mobilizing the resources required to meet current needs. It is also important to ensure that adequate resources are allocated to all areas of the ICPD costed population package: family planning services, reproductive health services, STD/HIV/AIDS activities and basic research, data and population and development policy analysis. Without a firm commitment to population, reproductive health and gender issues, it is unlikely that the goals and targets of the ICPD and the Millennium Summit will be met.